Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/604,995	BEDNAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Helen Rossoshek	2825
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek.	(3)	
(2) Norman Yi (Registration No. 58,268)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 August 2006	Time: <u><i>N/A</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1-20		
Prior art documents discussed: US Pat. 7,086,024; 7,096,449		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHA	TWAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
•		
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested to Applicant's representative to review few prior art, which could be applied to all independent claims 1, 10, 18 for rejection under 35 USC § 102. As a result of reviewing and disscussions Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims as reflected by Examiner amendment along with some other amendment to the claims for clarification and bringing them in proper form.